303 297 2266

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

Claim 1 (currently amended): A method of reorganizing organizing image files data, comprising:

determining [[a]] at least one first sorting criteria and at least one second sorting criteria associated with said at least one first sorting criteria; a sorting size;

determining an input a data path, said data path indicating the location of at least two image files;

sorting a plurality of <u>image files</u> thata, located by the <u>said data input</u> path, into sets of <u>image files</u> thata, based on the <u>said at least one first</u> sorting criteria and <u>said at least one</u> <u>second</u> sorting <u>criteria size</u>;

creating at least one directory subdirectories for at least one set of data said image files; and

moving at least one set of data image files into the corresponding directory subdirectory.

Claim 2 (currently amended): The method of claim 1 wherein where the said at least one first sorting criteria is the date that the data an image file was saved.

Claim 3 (currently amended): The method of claim 1 wherein where the said at least one first sorting criteria is the location the data image file was created.

Claim 4 (currently amended): The method of claim 3 wherein where the said at least one second sorting criteria size is a geographic area.

2

Claim 5 (currently amended): The method of claim 4 wherein where the said geographic area is at least one of the following: an address, a city, a state, a country, an island, a country, a region, a town.

Claim 6 (currently amended): The method of claim 1 further comprising:

setting an output location; and

creating the subdirectories said at least one directory at the said output location.

Claim 7 (cancelled)

Claim 8 (currently amended): The method of claim 1 wherein said at least one first 7 where the sorting criteria is the resolution of images represented by said image files the image.

Claim 9 (currently amended): The method of claim 1 further comprising:

setting selecting a file type, where the wherein said at least one first sorting criteria is

of data at the input location is limited to only the file type selected.

Claim 10 (currently amended): The method of claim 1 where the input wherein said data path is a default location.

Claim 11 (currently amended): An apparatus for organizing A computer programmed to reorganize images represented by image files, said apparatus comprising:

a computer comprising a computer-readable medium, said computer-readable medium comprising instructions for:

receiving a an input location, wherein at least two image files are stored in said location;

sorting said at least two image files based on a at least one first sorting criteria and at least one second sorting criteria into sets of image files a sorting size; and a file structure.

HP Dkt. No. 10016229-1

303 297 2266

a processor configured to sort a plurality of images, located at the input location, into sets if images, based on the sorting criteria and sorting size,

the processor configured to create creating a folder for each of said set of image files images and move moving each set of image files images into the corresponding folder.

The computer apparatus of claim 11 wherein said at Claim 12 (currently amended): least one first where the sorting criteria is the date that the image file was created.

The computer apparatus of claim 11 where the wherein Claim 13 (currently amended): said at least one first sorting criteria is the location where an the image file was created.

The computer apparatus of claim 13 wherein said at Claim 14 (currently amended): least one second sorting criteria where the sorting size is the a geographic area.

The computer apparatus of claim 14 wherein said where Claim 15 (currently amended): the geographic area is at least one of the following:

an address, a city, a state, a country, an island, a county, a region, a town.

The computer apparatus of claim 11 wherein said at Claim 16 (currently amended): least one first where the sorting criteria is the resolution of said images the image.

CLAIM 11

Claim 11 is independent and, as amended herein, is directed toward:

An apparatus for organizing images represented by image files, said apparatus comprising:

a computer comprising a computer-readable medium, said computer-readable medium comprising instructions for:

receiving a location;

sorting said at least two image files based on a at least one first sorting criteria and at least one second sorting criteria into sets of image files; and

creating a folder for each of said set of image files and moving each set of image files into the corresponding folder.

Claim 11 has been amended herein so as to better describe the organization of image files. The applicant contends that Barros does not disclose sorting said at least two image files based on a at least one first sorting criteria and at least one second sorting criteria into sets of image files as claimed in claim 11.

The applicants further contend that the above-described element of claim 11 is not disclosed by Anderson. More specifically, there is no disclosure in Anderson related to first and second sorting criteria.

Based on the foregoing, the applicant requests reconsideration of the rejection.

CLAIMS 12-15

Claims 12-15 are ultimately dependent on claim 11 and are deemed allowable by way of their dependence and for other reasons. Therefore, the applicant request reconsideration of the rejections.

CLAIM 16

Claim 16 is dependent on claim 11 and, as amended herein, is directed toward:

The apparatus of claim 11 wherein said at least one first sorting criteria is the resolution of said images.

Claim 16 was rejected on the same grounds as claim 8. Therefore, the applicant incorporates the rebuttal to the rejection of claim 8 into this rebuttal.

The applicant requests reconsideration of the rejection.

In view of the above, all of the pending claims are now believed to be in condition for allowance and a notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

KLAAS, LAW, O'MEARA & MALKIN, P.C.

September 28, 2004

Robert Nelson

Registration No. 37,898

1999 Broadway, Suite 2225

Denver, CO 80202

(303) 298-9888

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:
☐ BLACK BORDERS
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
GRIX SCALE DOCUMENTS
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.